



F7 – PROJECT FORMULATION PRESENTATION FORM

STUDENT NAME		STUDENT ID	
PROGRAM			
SUPERVISOR			
PROJECT TITLE			
PRESENTATION DATE			

Assessment Criteria	Weight (W)	Score (s) [1-10] (refer to F7 rubric)	Marks (W*S)
1. <u>Depth of Knowledge</u> (Possess a clear understanding and able to explain the subject matter.)	3		
2. <u>Overall Organization of the Project Presentation</u> (Exhibit/Present the project in a clear, engaging and appropriate form.)	2		
3. <u>Use Quality of Presentation Materials</u> (Use several materials or media in presenting the project.)	2		
4. <u>Delivery Skills</u> (Proper language used, speak clearly, loudly and at appropriate pace, effective eye contact and presentable attitude.)	3		
Total:			

Comments

Lecturer CSP600 (10%)	Supervisor (10%)	Examiner (5%)
------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------

Name of Supervisor/Examiner:	Date:
-------------------------------------	--------------

Signature:



CSP600 - PROJECT FORMULATION PRESENTATION EVALUATION (F7) RUBRIC

No.	Assessment Criteria	Excellent (8-10)	Good (6-7)	Satisfactory (5)	Poor (1-4)
1.	Depth of Knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter is clear and easily understood. Shows depth of thought. Able to answer questions in an intelligent manner. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter shows depth of thought in some areas. Mostly clear with little confusion in the presentation. Able to answer questions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter shows some understanding of the subject matter but little depth. Somewhat clear with some confusion in the presentation. Able to answer some questions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter shows a surface knowledge only. Lack clarity and is confusing. Unable to respond to questions.
2.	Overall Organization of The Project Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter delivers an excellent presentation with a smooth flow and provides good explanations and/or elaboration. Time is used wisely. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter delivers a good presentation and provides explanation and/or elaboration. Time is used wisely. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter delivers a fair presentation and provides explanation and/or insufficient elaboration. Time is fairly used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter delivers a poor presentation there is no presentation flow. Time limit is exceeded and/or the topics are not well covered.
3.	Use Variety of Presentation Materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a variety of materials/media used. Presentation materials/media are of good quality and useful. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a variety of materials/media used. Most of the presentation materials/media are of good quality. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is a fair variety of materials/media used. Materials/media are adequate with some questionable sources. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is little variety of materials/media used. Materials/media are inadequate with many questionable sources.
4.	Delivery Skills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter speaks clearly and is audible to the audience. Grammatical errors are insignificant and pronunciation is very good. Excellent eye contact. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter speaks clearly and is audible to most of the audience. Relatively few grammatical errors and pronunciation is good. Good eye contact. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter speaks relatively clear, but may not be audible to the back audience. Some grammatical errors and some mispronunciation. Some eye contact. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter does not speak clearly and may not be audible to most of the audience. Persistent grammatical errors and serious mispronunciation. Very poor eye contact.